GI Grant Program for the Combined Sewer Area Application Scoring Criteria Guidelines

Introduction

The Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District (the "District") set up the Green Infrastructure Grant Program for the Combined Sewer Area (GI Grant Program). This program provides funding for green infrastructure projects in member communities within the combined

Application Deadline

Applications for the 2025 application funding round are due on Friday, September 6, 2024

sewer area. These projects aim to manage stormwater runoff effectively by implementing water resource solutions. They help reduce stress on the sewer system during heavy rain, promoting healthier environments and stronger communities. GI Grant Program Website

Program Overall Eligibility

- The project must be located in the District's combined sewer area.
- The applicant must represent a District member community, governmental entity, or a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization. Privately-owned businesses are eligible if partnered with one of these entities.
- The District will record an Affidavit of Facts Relating to Title on Cuyahoga County real property records, noting operation and maintenance responsibilities.
- The applicant and associated property must be current and in good standing with all District bills.
- The GI project must demonstrate on-site stormwater control using green infrastructure and quantifiably reduce stormwater runoff.

Types Of Projects	
Design-Only Projects	Design and/or Construction Projects
 Projects must be completed by November 30, 2025. Must submit construction project proposal within 2 years. 	 Project must be completed by May 31, 2026. Size of projects that will be considered for award: Small Project less than 0.60-acres of impervious area treated by GI stormwater control measures. Maximum award not to exceed: \$250,000. Large Project at least 0.60-acres of impervious area treated by GI stormwater control measures. Maximum award not to exceed: \$350,000.

Application Screening Pre-evaluation Criteria

Category	Screening Pre-Evaluation Criteria	
Project Location	The proposed project site is within the Combined Sewer Area. <u>CSO Map</u>	Y/N
Application Completeness	Each section of the application is complete, concept design, budget, and site photos are provided.	Y/N
Concept Design	The provided materials include an existing conditions assessment, proposed full concept design, and a Best Management Practice (BMP) performance table.	Y/N
Property Ownership/Partnership	Applicants must demonstrate ownership of the property where the project will be located. Additionally, if the long-term owner differs from the current owner, evidence of the long-term ownership arrangement must also be provided.	Y/N
Type of Entity Applying	 The applicant must represent. A District member community Governmental entity, or a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization. A privately-owned business may also be eligible, provided one of the previously referenced parties works in partnership with the applicant. 	Y/N
Schedule	The application includes a schedule that outlines key details such as the construction start date and other project-related information.	Y/N
Good Standing with Stormwater and Wastewater Billing	Both the applicant and the associated property must be current and in good standing with all District bills.	Y/N

Application Scoring Criteria Guidelines

The District will assess and prioritize proposed projects that fulfill the eligibility requirements. Applicants will undergo evaluation and ranking based on the Application Scoring Criteria provided below.

Summary Of Total Scoring				
CATEGORY	DESIGN-ONLY (MAX OF 76 POINTS)	DESIGN AND/OR CONSTRUCTION (MAX OF 100 POINTS)		
Expected Benefits	31 (41%)	37 (37%)		
Project Feasibility	16 (21%)	28 (28%)		
Capacity to Maintain	14 (18%)	18 (18%)		
Education and Additional Co-Benefits	15 (20%)	17 (17%)		

Expected Benefits

Design-Only (31 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (37 Points)

Category	Evaluation	Criteria							Poin
Composite Score - Volume Removed (gallons) & Impervious Acres Treated	Projects wi estimated from the co (IA) that is Control Me For project requirement differences GI SCMs". code, this se between "I conditions USEPA Sto follows: Small Proj IA that is re (e.g., 0.50	ill be evaluation annual arconveyed assures (final transfer assures) as that do not an arconveyed with GIS rmwater sects: less tects: at I outed through an arconveyed as the sects at I outed through and arconveyed as the sects at I outed through and arconveyed as the sects at I outed through and arconveyed arconveyed as the sects at I outed through an arconveyed arconveye	mount of sewer syed to and GI SCMs) not need will be baren to that resed on the condition of Calculators than a treast a total rough a treast a total sewer will be ast a total rough a treast a total sewer will be ast a total rough a treast a total sewer will be ast a total rough a treast a total sewer will be ast a total rough a treast a tota	stormwa stem, and treated b d to comp sed on the g condition must first he estimate or Model. otal of 0.60 eatment	ter runof I the amo y Green I oly with the estimat ons" and comply with the did annual at GI SCN ates must Proposal 50-acres train can	f they are sunt of im nfrastruction of the Distriction of the Distriction of the Distriction of the determinant of the determin	able to repervious ture Storet's Title I'd runoff ed condition of the condition of the coroposed mined us dentified ted by GI SCMs.	emove area mwater	31
	cell only co			-					
	cell only co	ounts as ().50-acre	s of IA tre	eated, no	t 1.0-acre	e of IA tre	eated).	
	cell only co	ounts as ().50-acre	-	eated, no ted) - Maxi	t 1.0-acre	e of IA tre	eated).	
	cell only co	ounts as ().50-acre	s of IA tre	eated, no ted) - Maxi	t 1.0-acre	e of IA tre	eated).	
	cell only co	ounts as ().50-acre cs (< 0.60-a Gal	cre IA trea	eated, no ted) - Maxi	t 1.0-acre	e of IA tre	eated).	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27	<pre>counts as (aall Project <50,000 4 6</pre>	3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre	cre IA treations Captur <116,000 8 10	ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12	mum required 1.0-acre	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16	<pre><250,000 16 18</pre>	
	Sn IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34	<pre></pre>	3.50-acre	cre IA trealons Captu <116,000 8 10 12	ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14	mum required (183,000 12 14 16	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18	<250,000 16 18 21	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41	<pre></pre>	3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.500-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14	ted) - Maxired annual <150,000 10 12 14 16	mum required (183,000 12 14 16 18	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21	<pre><250,000 16 18 21 23</pre>	
	Cell only co Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a Gal (83,000 6 8 10 12 14	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16	ted) - Maxired annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18	mum required 183,000 12 14 16 18 21	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23	<pre><250,000 16 18 21 23 25</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41	<pre></pre>	3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.500-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre 3.50-acre	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14	ted) - Maxired annual <150,000 10 12 14 16	mum required (183,000 12 14 16 18	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21	<pre><250,000 16 18 21 23</pre>	
	Cell only co	<pre><so,000 10="" 12="" 14="" 16<="" 4="" 6="" 8="" pre=""></so,000></pre>	6 8 10 12 14 16 18	cre IA treatons Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21	ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23	mum required 1.0-acre	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28	<pre>250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31</pre>	
	Cell only co	<pre><so,000 10="" 12="" 14="" 16<="" 4="" 6="" 8="" pre=""></so,000></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a Gall <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21	**ted) - Maxi red annual	mum required 1.0-acres mum required 183,000 12 14 16 18 21 23 25	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28	<pre>250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31</pre>	
	Cell only co Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre s (< 0.60-a Gal <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60 Gal	cre IA treations Captur <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr	ted) - Maximed annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 eated) - Maximed annual	mum required 1.0-acree 1.0	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350	<pre><250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31</pre>	
	Cell only co Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a	cre IA treatons Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr	ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 eated) - Maxi red annual <513,000	mum required to 1.0-acres from the second se	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000	<pre>cated). cated). <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 ,000 <750,000</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated ≥0.6	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a Gall <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60 Gal <354,000 6	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr lons captu <433,000 8	**ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 **eated) - Maxi red annual <513,000 10	mum required to 1.0-acres from the second se	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000 14	<pre>200 </pre> <pre> <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 </pre> <pre> <750,000 16</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated ≥0.6 < 0.90	<pre>counts as (all Project <50,000 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 Projects (all <275,000 4 6</pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a Gall <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60 Gal <354,000 6 8	cre IA treations Captur <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA treations captur <433,000 8 10	ted) - Maximed annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 eated) - Maximed annual <513,000 10 12	mum required to 1.0-acres from the second se	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000 14 16	<pre>200 </pre> <pre> <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 </pre> <pre> <750,000 16 18</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated ≥0.6	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a Gall <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60 Gal <354,000 6	cre IA treations Captu <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr lons captu <433,000 8	**ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 **eated) - Maxi red annual <513,000 10	mum required to 1.0-acres from the second se	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000 14	<pre>200 </pre> <pre> <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 </pre> <pre> <750,000 16</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated ≥0.6 < 0.90 < 1.25	<pre></pre>	0.50-acre s (< 0.60-a Gall <83,000 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 t least 0.60 Gal <354,000 6 8 10	cre IA treations Captur <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr lons captur <433,000 8 10 12	eated, no ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 eated) - Maxi red annual <513,000 10 12 14	mum required to 1.0-acres from the second se	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000 14 16 18	<pre>cated). cated). <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 constant const</pre>	
	Sm IA Treated < 0.2 < 0.27 < 0.34 < 0.41 < 0.48 < 0.55 < 0.60 Large IA Treated ≥0.6 < 0.90 < 1.25 < 1.60	<pre><sounts (="" (a="" 10="" 10<="" 12="" 14="" 16="" 4="" 6="" 8="" <275,000="" <50,000="" all="" as="" pre="" project="" projects=""></sounts></pre>	0.50-acre (s (< 0.60-a	cre IA treations Captur <116,000 8 10 12 14 16 18 21 D-acre IA tr Ions captur <433,000 8 10 12 14	eated, no ted) - Maxi red annual <150,000 10 12 14 16 18 21 23 eated) - Maxi red annual <513,000 10 12 14 16 18 18 19 10 10 11 11 16	mum required (1.0-acree) mum required (183,000) 12 14 16 18 21 23 25 aximum relly <592,000 12 14 16 18	est \$250,00 <216,000 14 16 18 21 23 25 28 quest \$350 <671,000 14 16 18 21	<pre>cated). cated). <250,000 16 18 21 23 25 28 31 constant const</pre>	



Expected Benefits

Design-Only (31 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (37 Points)

Category	Evaluation Criteria				Point
Cost- effectiveness (grant dollars requested/gallons	*This is based on the project's budget related specifically to GSI SCMs, not necessarily the total project budget. We would also use the results from the USEPA Stormwater Calculator to determine gallons captured.				
captured)		\$/gallon	Points		
		≤\$0.50	6		
		≤\$0.80	5		
		≤\$1.10	4		
		≤\$1.40	3		
		≤\$1.70	2		
		>\$2.00	1		

Project Feasibility

Design-Only (16 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (28 Points)

READINESS TO PROCEED & BUDGET (13 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Pre-Proposal Meeting	Projects will score 3 points if the project team conducts a GI Grant Pre-proposal Meeting with NEORSD staff by August 1st. It is highly recommended that the team have a general concept plan prepared for discussion during the pre-proposal meeting.	3
Funding Sources	*Projects will score 2 points upon providing verification that funding has been secured for 100% of project costs. Verification includes, but may not be limited to all contracts, bank statements, loans, grants, and letters of credit. Applications with 100% of their total project budgets covered solely by GI Grant Program funding will automatically earn these 2 points.	2
Detailed Budget	*Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely their detailed budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is developed by a professional.	3
Capital Cost	*Projects will be evaluated based on whether the proposed construction project capital costs align with regional cost standards.	3
Eligible Expenses	*Projects will be evaluated to ensure that requested grant funding is used exclusively for eligible expenses, as per the defined guidelines/regulations	2

PROJECT DESIGN (10 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Die Cheire	For applications that submit a full concept plan: projects will be evaluated on whether minimum requirements are included. See checklist (Add Link)	3
Plan Submittal	*For applications that submit a full set of plan design drawings: projects will be evaluated on whether minimum requirements are included, and if the plans are stamped by a professional engineer.	5
Construction Schedule	Projects will be evaluated based on a proposed timeline that ensures projects can be completed within the grant program timeframe. Furthermore, consideration will be given to whether the schedule acknowledges foreseeable circumstances that could delay on-time completion of the project.	3
Zoning & Permitting	Projects will be evaluated on how well the applicant has already addressed applicable zoning and permitting requirements or has demonstrated the knowledge to do so prior to the commencement of construction.	2



Project Feasibility

Design-Only (16 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (28 Points)

PROJECT TEAM (5 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria			Point
	Projects will be evaluated on the amount of experience curr have with designing/implementing GI SCMs. **This account projects per team member, not cumulative experience of th if an owner/design engineer partnership has successfully co	ts for cum le team. F ompleted 5	ulative for example, 5 GI	
Experience with GI	projects, they have 5 projects worth of experience, and wou (they do not have 10 projects of experience). Project exper GI projects and are not limited to those funded by NEORSD	ience can	include any	5
Overall Experience with GI Projects	(they do not have 10 projects of experience). Project exper	ience can	include any	5
Experience with GI	(they do not have 10 projects of experience). Project exper GI projects and are not limited to those funded by NEORSD	ience can 's GI Gran	include any	5
Experience with GI	(they do not have 10 projects of experience). Project exper GI projects and are not limited to those funded by NEORSD Overall experience with GI projects** Significant (at least 1 team member has been involved with 7+ GI	ience can 's GI Gran	include any	5
Experience with GI	(they do not have 10 projects of experience). Project exper GI projects and are not limited to those funded by NEORSD Overall experience with GI projects** Significant (at least 1 team member has been involved with 7+ GI projects)	rience can 's GI Gran	include any	5

Capacity of the Applicant to Maintain the Project for Design Life Expectancy

Design-only (14 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (18 Points)

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) (4 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Responsible Party	The party (or parties) responsible for first-year and long-term maintenance have been identified and confirmed.	1
Labor Hours	An estimate of annual labor hours for first-year and long-term maintenance has been provided.	1
Necessary Skills	The necessary skills required of the party (or parties) that will provide maintenance have been summarized.	1
Equipment Needed	A list of the equipment the party (or parties) will need to maintain the project has been provided.	1

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN (10 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Design Features	The O&M Plan includes a comprehensive list of design features that are applicable to proposed SCMs.	3
What to Look For	The O&M Plan includes a description of what an inspector would look for while conducting a routine inspection, per design feature.	4
Inspection Frequencies	The O&M Plan summarizes how frequently each design feature should be inspected/maintained.	3

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET (4 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
First-year Detailed Budget	*Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely the detailed first- year maintenance budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is stamped by a professional engineer.	1
Long-Term Detailed Budget	*Projects will be evaluated on how clearly and concisely the detailed long- term maintenance budget is presented, how well it addresses minimum expectations, and if it is stamped by a professional engineer.	1
Maintenance Costs	*Projects will be evaluated on whether proposed maintenance costs reflect typical regional costs.	1
Eligible Expenses	*Projects will be evaluated on whether requested grant funding will be used exclusively for eligible maintenance expenses.	1



Co-Benefits

Design-only (15 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (17 Points)

COMMUNITY AND SOCIAL CO-BENEFITS (11 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Climate & Economic Justice Screening Tool (CEJST)	Go to NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant Program dashboard to determine your score for this category. There are 8 burden categories considered as part of this tool: climate change, energy, health, housing, legacy pollution, transportation, water/wastewater, and workforce development. The tool uses this information to identify communities (by census tract) that are experiencing these burdens. Projects located in census tracts with at least 7 burden categories (BCs) identified will score 5 points; 6 BCs scores 4 pts; 5 BCs scores 3 pts; 4 BCs scores 2 pts; 3 BCs scores 1 pt; less than 3 BCs scores no points	5
Public Access, Open Space, Recreation	Projects can earn 1 point if the property (public or private) is accessible to the public for a minimum of 7 hours per weekend day or 3 hours per weekday. Projects can earn 2 additional points for creating a public gathering space that incorporates GI SCMs (i.e., an outdoor space specifically designed to serve as a venue for people to congregate, celebrate, socialize and/or enjoy recreational activities). Note: a parking lot with GI SCMs that serves a public gathering space does not qualify for these points.	3
	Projects can earn 1 point for each project phase in which the applicant active engages with community members. A total of 3 points are available. See bel	•
Community Engagement, Collaboration	 Design phase (e.g., organize a public design charette or conduct surveys to generate ideas in the early stages of design) NOTE: Projects can earn this point for applicable activities that occur before or after the date of the GI Grant Program application. 	1
	 *Construction phase (e.g., sell engraved paver bricks, organize a planting party, etc.) 	1
	 *Maintenance phase (e.g., partner with an established garden club to maintain the landscaping of a bioretention cell) 	1



Co-Benefits

Design-only (15 Points) *Indicates this Evaluation Criteria is not considered for Design-only proposals. Design and/or Construction (17 Points)

ENVIRONMENTAL CO-BENEFITS (6 POINTS)

Category	Evaluation Criteria	Points
Urban Heat Resilience	Urban Heat Resilience is a total of 3 points available. See below.	
	 1 point for a net increase of three shade trees from the <u>District's</u> approved list. 	1
	 1 point for reducing impervious area cover by at least 10% (from existing compared to proposed conditions (Note: green roof and permeable pavement footprints are considered pervious). 	1
	Go to <u>NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant Program dashboard</u> to determine your score for this category. One (1) point if the project is located in a high priority heat resilience area as identified on the Priority Area Map.	1
Urban Flooding Resilience	Urban Flooding Resilience is a total of 3 points available. See below.	
	2 points if the project prevents the post-development average annual runoff from exceeding the pre-development (i.e., undeveloped) average annual runoff, as determined by the USEPA Stormwater Calculator. To mimic an undeveloped pre-development condition, all existing impervious areas must be accounted for as "lawn".	2
	Go to <u>NEORSD Green Infrastructure Grant Program dashboard</u> to determine your score for this category. One (1) additional point if the project is in a high priority flood resilience area as identified on the District's Priority Sewershed map.	1

